

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/484,260	01/18/2000	Thomas C. Gipson	P-355.5(Reisssue)	9484
26271	7590 12/26/2003		EXAMI	NER
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 1301 MCKINNEY			SUCHFIELD,	GEORGE A
SUITE 5100	,			PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,	TX 77010-3095	3672	404	
			DATE MAILED: 12/26/2003	1121

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DOHBHESO ............

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/484,260	GIPSON, THOMAS C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George Suchfield	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with th correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. 3, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. #IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BE ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.	June 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 4.5.9 and 11-25 is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>11 and 25</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>4,5,9,12-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7 Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9 The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<del>-</del> , ,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	)).				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	s been received.				
Attachment(s)	p					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3672

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 4, 5, 9 and 12-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 4, as amended (Paper No. 21, dated June 18, 2003), includes terms or subject matter not present in the specification and/or original disclosure. More specifically, no basis can be found in the specification or original disclosure for a frame having a "first" end and a "second" end. Only a "front end 36" and "back end 44" are specifically disclosed. No basis can be found in the specification or original disclosure for a "first and second spool support arm mounted to said frame", as called for in claim 4. Instead, the specification or original disclosure calls for "bullnose arms" or "pivotable bullnose arms" mounted to a cradle frame or cradle 20. Further, no basis can be found in the specification or original disclosure for the injector reel being "rotatably interconnected" to the frame. Instead, the specification or original disclosure calls for the injector reel being "rotatably mounted" to the frame.

Claims 5, 9 and 12-24 are similarly rejected as they depend from claim 4. In this regard, claim 17 makes reference to the unsupported "rotatably interconnected" injector reel of claim 4, while claim 20 refers back to the unsupported "first and second spool support arm" of claim 4.

Application/Control Number: 09/484,260 and 90/005,708

Art Unit: 3672

Otherwise, the additional limitations recited in claims 5, 9, 12-16, 18, 19 and 21-24 are deemed

Page 3

supported by the specification and/or original disclosure.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 14, 16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "said second operative position" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "said second position" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 19 is deemed indefinite with respect to the recitation "injector reel movable". It appears that -- injector reel is movable -- was intended. It is further noted such recitation appears in claim 21, which otherwise is a duplicate of claim 19; hence it appears claim 19 could be cancelled.

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4, 5, 9 and 12-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Claims 4, 5, 9 and 12-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and/or second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claims 11 and 25 are allowed.

Ш

Art Unit: 3672

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Suchfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 - 3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/484,260 and 90/005,708

Art Unit: 3672

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Jeorge Suchfield George Suchfield Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

Gs December 18, 2003